

In The United States District Court
For The Western District of Virginia
Charlottesville Division
Sines, et al, Plaintiffs vs.
Kessler, et al, Defendants
Civil Action 3:17-cv-00072

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA
FILED

1/13/2022

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: H. Wheeler
DEPUTY CLERK

January 11th 2022 Letter From
Defendant Contwell to the Court

Dear Judge Moon,

Today I received Plaintiffs' December
27th letter, ECF 1509.

In it, Plaintiffs state that they
oppose my motion to strike their
unserved filings and treat my post
trial motion as unopposed because
they "obviously have no control" over
my whereabouts and access to documents.

Notably, they do not dispute the
fact that they falsely informed the court
that they had conferred and obtained
agreement on their proposed post trial
schedule. They do not, in this letter,
so much as claim to have tried to
confer. They simply take advantage
of the situation and welcome the
opportunity to continue abusing process,
gaining once again an unfair head start
over a powerless impoverished opponent.

I suppose nobody can blame them for
doing so at this point. They are simply
responding to incentives, since they have

learned through experience that they will suffer no penalties for disregarding the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as long as they call their opponents racists loudly and frequently enough.

By doing so, they've made an absolute mockery of these proceedings. The whole point of 1985 (3) is that blacks and their Republican supporters lacked other recourse to the law, because, then, like now, Democrats corruptly deny their opponents protection of the law.

Sadly, the targets of their enmity today have even less power than blacks did at the time of the law's passage.

CL ~~I should have drafted on this
about years ago. What a joke.~~

Submitter,
Christopher Cantwell
1-11-2022

C Cantwell